# 2015년도 한국통신학회 동계종합학술발표회 프로그램

|일시| 2015년 1월 21일(수)~1월 23일(금) |장소] 하이원리조트 |주최| 한국통신학회 |후원| 강원도청, 국립강릉원주대, 삼성전자, LG전자, LGU+, SKT, GSI

- 14F-35 비허가 대역에서의 LTE 서비스를 위한 표준화 동향 및 이슈 김충기, 양찬석, 강충구(고려대학교)
- 14F-36 Closed-Loop MIMO 시스템에서의 인접셀 간섭 분석 이재원, 김이천, 최용인, 강충구, \*임민중(고려대학교 전기전자전파 공학부, \*동국대 정보통신공학과)
- 14F-37 무선 비디오 스티리밍 네트워크에서 적응 변조 및 코딩 제어를 활용한 캐쉬 기반 하이브리드 협력 다중점 송수신 (CoMP) 이해솔, 김동구(성균관대학교)
- 14F-38 5G 요구사항을 위한 초고밀집 네트워크 환경에서의 기술 이슈에 관한 연구 이병철, 김훈, \*윤찬호(인천대학교, \*한국전자통신연구원)
- 14F-39 Uniform Immunization on Malware Spread in Complex Communication Networks Aresh Dadlani, Royasadat Babaei, Ahsan Shahzad, Donghyeon Kim, Kiseon Kim(Gwangju Institue of Science and Technology)
- 14F-40 RAPL과 DVFS를 이용한 전력 제한 방법에 관한 비교 연구 이병규, 안백송, 온진호, 장수민, 전성익(한국전자통신연구원)
- 14F-41 다양한 그래프 형태를 적용한 불완전 얽힘 부호어 고정 양자오류정정부호의 최적화 기법 안병규, 이성훈, 윤성식, 서영길, 이신규, 허준(고려대학교)
- 14F-42 분산 클라우드 스토리지 시스템의 데이터 복구 딜레이 감소를 위한 LT 부호 이성권, 백종현, 김성원, 위준영, 허준(고려대학교)
- 14F-43 3-유저 간섭 채널에서 내적 충돌이 없는 위상기하구조 윤종윤, 김재홍, 김찬기, 노종선(서울대학교)
- 14F-44 주파수 편이 변조 방식을 위한 BICM-ID 수신기의 도핑 패턴에 관한 연구 이상아, 하정석(한국과학기술원)
- 14F-45
   SAR Image 복구를 위한 Window 적용 효과 연구

   김형욱, 고진환(경상대학교)
- 14F-46 웹 컨텐츠 정밀 분석을 위한 실시간 악성 스크립트 수집 기술 연구 배한철, 정종훈, 임채태(한국인터넷진흥원)
- 14F-47 보안부호를 이용한 도청방지 시스템에 관한 연구 윤상석, 백진호, 하정석(KAIST)
- 14F-48 DOCSIS 3.1순방향 오류 정정 단축화 부호 성능 분석 정준영, 최동준, 허남호(한국전자통신연구원)
- 14F-49 차량용 레이더 시스템에서의 수신 안테나 선택을 통한 각도 추정 알고리즘의 성능 향상에 관한 연구 이성욱, 김성철(서울대학교)
- 14F-50 저지연 통신을 위한 OFDM 기반 다중 안테나 시스템에서의 지연 확산 감소 Precoding 기법 한승민, 최준수, 안진엽, 이광복(서울대학교 전기정보공학부 뉴미디어통신공동연구소)
- 14F-51 비직교 다원접속 다운링크를 위한 빔포밍 성능 연구 임상훈, 홍영표, 하정석(KAIST)
- 14F-52 4x4 평면 배열 안테나를 적용한 3차원 빔형성 중계기의 성능에 관한 연구 김준호, 이태석, 김계원, 고학림(호서대학교 정보통신공학과)

# **Uniform Immunization on Malware Spread in Complex Communication Networks**

Aresh Dadlani, Royasadat Babaei, Ahsan Shahzad, Donghyeon Kim, Kiseon Kim\* Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST)

{dadlani, roya, ahsanshahzad, dhkim518, kskim\*}@gist.ac.kr

# Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the impact of uniform immunization as a control measure to mitigate the spread of a malware model over communication networks with complex topologies. Particularly, we formulate the system dynamics using mean-field approximation and obtain the minimum fraction of nodes that need to be immunized to prevent an epidemic outbreak. Results obtained through simulations over both, homogeneous and heterogeneous networks reveal the ineffectiveness of the uniform immunization scheme in networks with high heterogeneity.

# I. Introduction

Complex information networks such as the Internet and the World Wide Web (WWW) play a vital role in our everyday lives. Such networks are distinguished based on features such as their clustering coefficients and average path lengths. Networks are either *small-world* (SW) or *scale-free* (SF) in nature. While SW networks exhibit Poisson degree distribution, SF networks have a power-law distribution. As a result, the heterogeneity of such networks substantially influences the manner in which spreading processes such as computer viruses and botnets propagate over them [1]. Studying the dynamical behavior of such processes over complex networks is important so as to predict and prevent severe economical and human losses.

Most existing works model the behavior of malwares using compartmental differential equations using mean-filed theory [2]. These works however, mainly focus on fundamental epidemic models such as the susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) and the susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) themes. Nonetheless, in real world, multipartite malwares may not only infect nodes in many stages, but also exploit mediums such as email attachments and file sharing to spread. Taking these two factors into account, we consider the refined SIS model reported in [3] for our study. For malware models, techniques have also been designed to alleviate the possibilities of and epidemic outbreak. One such technique is known as uniform immunization in which a fraction of nodes are immunized in the network according to a uniform distribution. The potency of this method heavily depends on the nature of the malware model and the underlying network topology. To our best knowledge, there exists no analytical work on the impact of uniform immunization strategy on the model in [3]. To this end, we present mathematical and simulation results to evaluate the uniform immunization strategy on the malware model over both, SW and SF complex networks.



Fig.1: Malware model with infection delay and vectors [3].

#### **II.** Mean-field Formulation

The malware model of Figure 1 is made up of three types of compartments (or classes), where S(t),  $I_i(t)$ , and  $V_j(t)$ denote the fractions of susceptible nodes, nodes in the  $i^{th}$ stage of infection (i = 0, 1, ..., T), and the  $j^{th}$  infective vector (j = 1, 2, ..., M) at time t, respectively. With  $\langle k \rangle$  as the mean network degree, the remaining notations refer to transmission rates from one particular compartment to another. In addition, we assume a fixed network size with  $\sum_{r=0}^{T} I_r(t) + S(t) = 1$ . To show the impact of uniform immunization, we henceforth use g to denote the density of immunized nodes in the network.

#### A. Small-world Homogeneous Networks

In SW networks, the degree distribution is homogeneous, i.e. the degree of every node in such a network is almost the same as the average degree  $\langle k \rangle$ . By considering Watts-Strogatz (WS) model of SW networks, the system is expressed as follows [3]:

$$\begin{cases} I'_0(t) = -I_0(t) + \lambda \langle k \rangle [1 - \rho(t)] \rho(t) + \sum_{j=1}^M \gamma_j [1 - \rho(t)] V_j(t) \\ I'_i(t) = \beta_i I_{i-1}(t) - I_i(t); \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, T \\ V'_j(t) = -V_j(t) + \eta_j [1 - V_j(t)] \rho(t), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\rho(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{T} I_i(t)$ . Replacing  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma_j$  with  $\lambda(1-g)$  and  $\gamma_j(1-g)$ , respectively, and solving system (1) yields the minimum fraction of nodes that must be immunized in order

to prevent the malware infection from becoming an endemic. This minimum fraction, $g_c$ , should satisfy the following:

$$\left(\lambda \langle k \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \eta_j \gamma_j\right) (1 - g_c) B - 1 = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where  $B = (1/\alpha + 1/\beta_1 + \dots + 1/\beta_T)$ . The expression for  $g_c$  is a monotonously increasing function of variables  $\lambda$ ,  $\langle k \rangle$ ,  $\eta_j$  and  $\beta_i$  and is given as follows:

$$g_{c} = 1 - \frac{1}{\left(\lambda \left\langle k \right\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \eta_{j} \gamma_{j}\right) B} \,. \tag{3}$$

### **B. Scale-free Heterogeneous Networks**

Unlike SW networks, the node degrees in SF networks are less likely to be similar to the average degree  $\langle k \rangle$ . A famous SF network model is the Barabási-Albert (BA) model that has a power-law degree distribution and is built upon the notion of preferential attachment. As given in [3], we have:

$$\begin{cases} I_{k,0}^{l}(t) = -I_{k,0}(t) + \lambda k [1 - \rho_{k}(t)] \Theta(t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \gamma_{j} [1 - \rho_{k}(t)] V_{j}(t) \\ I_{k,i}^{\prime}(t) = \beta_{i} I_{k,i-1}(t) - I_{k,i}(t); \quad i = 1, 2, ..., T \\ V_{j}^{\prime}(t) = -V_{j}(t) + \eta_{j} [1 - V_{j}(t)] \Theta(t), \end{cases}$$
(4)

with  $I_{k,i}(t)$ ,  $\rho_k(t)$ , and  $\Theta(t)$  as the infected fraction having degree k and in infection stage i, sum of infected nodes in all stages, and the probability of linking to an infected node at time t, respectively. Following the same procedure as for SW networks, we derive  $g_c$  for SF networks such that:

$$\frac{(1-g)B}{\langle k \rangle} \left( \lambda \langle k^2 \rangle + \langle k \rangle \sum_{j=1}^M \eta_j \gamma_j \right) = 1, \qquad (5)$$

with  $\langle k^2 \rangle$  as the variance of network degree. The expression for  $g_c$  is thus derived as follows:

$$g_{c} = 1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{\left(\lambda \langle k^{2} \rangle + \langle k \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{M} \eta_{j} \gamma_{j}\right) B}.$$
(6)

# II. Simulation Results and Discussions

To validate our analytical results, we simulate a network of 1000 nodes with  $\langle k \rangle = 6$ ,  $\lambda = 0.07$ ,  $\beta_i = \eta_j = \gamma_j = \alpha = 0.2$  and consider only one infective medium for simplicity.

Figure 2 depicts the minimum immunized fraction of nodes as function of the infection rate  $\lambda$ . Note that for any fixed  $\lambda$ value,  $g_c$  increases with the number of infection delay stages from T = 0 to T = 3. Yet, in a BA network,  $g_c$  instantly shoots up for very small values of  $\lambda$  as illustrated in Figure 3. Comparing the two network models under same parametric settings, we see that the sudden rise of  $g_c$  in BA networks is due to the very low epidemic threshold inherent in such networks. In other words, due to the high heterogeneity in connectivity properties of SF networks, they tend to have a very low critical threshold for epidemic outbreak and thus, show weakness in face of malware spread. Thus, we conclude



Fig.2: Immunized fraction  $(g_c)$  versus infection rate  $(\lambda)$  in a homogeneous network.



Fig.3: Immunized fraction  $(g_c)$  versus infection rate  $(\lambda)$  in a heterogeneous network.

that uniform immunization is not effective in SF networks due to their peculiar hierarchical structure, but can be used in SF networks to reduce the impact of malware spread. These results clearly imply the need for further investigation of better immunization strategies over SF networks on account of their unique topological characteristics.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was partly supported by the Basic Research Project grant provided by Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST) and in part by Grant K20901002277-12E0100-06010 by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (MSIP) under Grant 2009-00422.

#### References

- R.W. Thommes and M.J. Coates, "Epidemiological Modelling of Peer-to-Peer Viruses and Pollution," in Proc. IEEE INFOCOM, pp. 1-12, 2006.
- [2] M. Ajelli, R.L. Cigno, and A. Montresor, "Modeling Botnets and Epidemic Malware," in Proc. ICC, pp. 1-5, 2010.
- [3] A. Dadlani, M.S. Kumar, S. Murugan, and K. Kim, "System Dynamics of a Refined Epidemic Model for Infection Propagation over Complex Networks," IEEE Systems Journal, 2015.